Flood Protection Structure Accreditation Task Force

November 2013 Report to Congress

Presenter:

Melissa Mullen, P.E.

US Army Corps of Engineers





FEMA-USACE Task Force (MAP-21)

H.R.4348

One Hundred Twelfth Congress of the United States of America

AT THE SECOND SESSION

Begun and held at the City of Washington on Tuesday, the third day of January, two thousand and twelve

An Act

To authorize funds for Federal-aid highways, highway safety programs, and transit programs, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; ORGANIZATION OF ACT INTO DIVISIONS, TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act" or the "MAP-21".

(b) DIVISIONS.—This Act is organized into 8 divisions as follows:

(1) Division A-Federal-aid Highways and Highway Safety

struction Programs.
(2) Division B-Public Transportation.
(3) Division C-Transportation Safety and Surface Transpor

(3) Division C-Transportation Safety and Surface Transportation Policy.

(4) Division D-Finance.

(5) Division E-Research and Education.

(6) Division F-Miscellaneous.

(7) Division G-Surface Transportation Extension.

(8) Division H-Budgetary Effects.

(2) TRIES OF CONTENTS—The table of contents for this Act

DIVISION A—PEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS AND HIGHWAY SAPETY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS TITLE I-PEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS

Subtitle A-Authorizations and Programs

Obligation community before the community by the community of the communit

imergency relief. Surface transportation program.

National freight policy.
 Prioritization of projects to improve freight movement.
 State freight advisory committees.

Through Section 100226, FEMA and USACE are: ...directed to convene a joint task forceto "better align information and data collected" under Inspection of Completed Works (ICW) with National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) levee accreditation so that:

- Data can be used interchangeably.
- Information collected for ICW is sufficient to satisfy NFIP accreditation requirements.

Stakeholder involvement was also an important requirement.





Can Routine ICW Activities Meet the Charge?

- Inspections and screenings cannot fully address accreditation requirements.
 - Inspections visual only, lack detailed engineering analysis
 - Screenings qualitative, relative risk assessment that lack necessary rigor
- Specific data from these activities can fulfill specific, but not all, 44 CFR 65.10 requirements.
- Revisions will better evaluate and communicate specific data for use in accreditation packages.





USACE Risk Assessments can Meet the Charge.

- Risk assessments will provide adequate information for NFIP accreditation purposes,
 - ► Assessment of various loadings including 1% ACE
 - ► Interior Drainage will usually not be addressed
- meet the requirement for detailed engineering analysis in 44 CFR 65.10 (with the exception of Interior Drainage),
- and provide information to help communities target investments and risk reduction activities were they are most needed.





NFIP REQUIREMENTS AND RELATION TO USACE ACTIVITIES

NFIP REQUIREMENTS (44 CFR 65.10)		CAN COMPLIANCE BE DETERMINED THROUGH:		
CFR CRITERIA CATEGORY	CFR CRITERIA SUBCATEGORY	USACE INSPECTION	USACE SCREENING	USACE RISK ASSESSMENT
Design Criteria	Freeboard (levee height)	NO	RARELY	YES
	Closure devices for all openings	NO	RARELY	YES
	Embankment protection	NO	RARELY	YES
	Embankment and foundation stability	NO	RARELY	YES
	Settlement	NO	RARELY	YES
	Interior drainage	NO	NO	RARELY
Operation Plans	Closures	YES	YES	YES
	Interior Drainage Systems	YES	YES	YES
Maintenance Plan		YES	YES	YES

FEMA and USACE Memorandum of Understanding under development that will define how recommendations of the Task Force will be implemented.





The Task Force Proposal to FEMA

- To better communicate risk and improve alignment:
 - Adopt a risk based framework for levee accreditation
 - ► Require flood warning, preparedness, and evacuation plans as accreditation criteria.
 - Require the overtopping scenario be considered in the accreditation package
 - Strengthen floodplain management measures for leveed areas

AND /OR

► Eliminate the concept of levee system accreditation and implement a risk-informed suite of NFIP actions.





